

United States Patent and Trademark Office

上く

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/778,659	02/09/2001	Jonathan O. Browne	2676-101	4375	
6449	7590 08/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			NGUYEN, BI	NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC	
1425 K STRE SUITE 800	ET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3713		

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{1111}$			
Advisory Action	09/778,659	BROWNE ET AL.	\mathcal{U}			
, i.e., j., i.e., e.,	Examiner	Art Unit	,			
	Binh-An D. Nguyen	3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper replication in the captility is called a policy and captility in the captility is called a policy and captility in the captility is called a proper representation.	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or of the final Office action; or of the final Office action; or office action is the final Office action.	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s)·					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	· · ·	separate, timely filed	l amendment			
5.		sidered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	re newly			
 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15-30</u> .						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme 10. Other:	SUPE	A. L. WELLINGTON RVISORY PATENT EXA CHNOLOGY CENTER 3				
		·· •				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Irwin, Jr et al. and Pieterse et al. references and reasons of obviousness set forth in the Final Rejection sent April 16, 2004 do teach towards limitations claimed by the applicant. Further, the withdrawal from consideration of claims 15-30, as set forth in the Final Rejection, stands since the claims presented different gaming system as originally presented.